Data Mining I

Association Analysis
Example Applications in which Co-Occurrence Matters

- We are often interested in co-occurrence relationships.

- **Marketing**
  1. identify items that are bought together by sufficiently many customers.
  2. use this information for marketing or supermarket shelf management purposes.

- **Inventory Management**
  1. identify parts that are often needed together for repairs.
  2. use this information to equip your repair vehicles with the right parts.

- **Usage Mining**
  1. identify words that frequently appear together in search queries.
  2. use this information to offer auto-completion features to the user.
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1. Correlation Analysis

- Correlation analysis measures the degree of dependency between two variables.
  - Continuous variables: Pearson’s correlation coefficient
  - Binary variables: Phi coefficient

\[
PCC(x, y) = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}
\]

\[
Phi(x, y) = \frac{f_{11}f_{00} - f_{01}f_{10}}{\sqrt{f_{11}f_{00}f_{01}f_{10}}}
\]

- Value range [-1, 1]
  - 1 : positive correlation
  - 0 : variables independent
  - -1 : negative correlation
Correlations between Products in Shopping Baskets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basket 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basket 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basket 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 : always bought together
0 : sometimes bought together
-1 : never bought together

Shortcoming: Measures only correlation between two items, but not between multiple items, e.g. {ThinkPad, Cover} → {Minimaus}
2. Association Analysis

- Association analysis can find multiple item co-occurrence relationships
- focuses on occurring items, not absent items
- first algorithms developed in the early 90s at IBM by Agrawal & Srikant
- initially used for shopping basket analysis to find how items purchased by customers are related
- later extended to more complex data structures
  - sequential patterns
  - subgraph patterns
- and other application domains
  - web usage mining, social science, life science
Given a set of transactions, find rules that will predict the occurrence of an item based on the occurrences of other items in the transaction.

**Shopping Transactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bread, Milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Examples of Association Rules**

- \{\text{Diaper}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Beer}\}
- \{\text{Milk, Bread}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Eggs, Coke}\}
- \{\text{Beer, Bread}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Milk}\}

Implication means co-occurrence, not causality!
Definition: Support and Frequent Itemset

- **Itemset**
  - A collection of one or more items
  - Example: \{Milk, Bread, Diaper\}
  - k-itemset: An itemset that contains k items

- **Support count** (\(\sigma\))
  - Frequency of occurrence of an itemset
  - E.g. \(\sigma(\{\text{Milk, Bread, Diaper}\}) = 2\)

- **Support** (\(s\))
  - Fraction of transactions that contain an itemset
  - E.g. \(s(\{\text{Milk, Bread, Diaper}\}) = 2/5\)

- **Frequent Itemset**
  - An itemset whose support is greater than or equal to a minimal support (\(\text{minsup}\)) threshold specified by the user

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bread, Milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Definition: Association Rule

- **Association Rule**
  - An implication expression of the form $X \rightarrow Y$, where $X$ and $Y$ are itemsets
  - An association rule states that when $X$ occurs, $Y$ occurs with certain **probability**.
  - Example:
    - \{Milk, Diaper\} $\rightarrow$ \{Beer\}
    - **Condition** \{Milk, Diaper\} \text{\textbf{\rightarrow}} \{Beer\}
    - **Consequent**

- **Rule Evaluation Metrics**
  - **Support** ($s$)
    - Fraction of transactions that contain both $X$ and $Y$
    - $s(X \rightarrow Y) = \frac{|X \cup Y|}{|T|}$
    - $s = \frac{\sigma(\text{Milk, Diaper, Beer})}{|T|} = \frac{2}{5} = 0.4$
  - **Confidence** ($c$)
    - Measures how often items in $Y$ appear in transactions that contain $X$
    - $c(X \rightarrow Y) = \frac{\sigma(X \cup Y)}{\sigma(X)}$
    - $c = \frac{\sigma(\text{Milk, Diaper, Beer})}{\sigma(\text{Milk, Diaper})} = \frac{2}{3} = 0.67$
Main Challenges concerning Association Analysis

1. Mining associations from large amounts of data can be computationally expensive
   • Algorithms need to apply smart pruning strategies

2. Algorithms often discover a large number of associations
   • many of them are uninteresting or redundant
   • the user needs to select the subset of the associations that is relevant given her task at hand
The Association Rule Mining Task

- Given a set of transactions $T$, the goal of association rule mining is to find all rules having
  1. support $\geq \text{minsup}$ threshold
  2. confidence $\geq \text{minconf}$ threshold

- $\text{minsup}$ and $\text{minconf}$ are provided by the user.

- Brute Force Approach:
  1. List all possible association rules
  2. Compute the support and confidence for each rule
  3. Remove rules that fail the $\text{minsup}$ and $\text{minconf}$ thresholds

$\Rightarrow$ Computationally prohibitive due to large number of candidates!
Mining Association Rules

Example rules:

\{\text{Milk, Diaper}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Beer}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=0.67)
\{\text{Milk, Beer}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Diaper}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=1.0)
\{\text{Diaper, Beer}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Milk}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=0.67)
\{\text{Beer}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Milk, Diaper}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=0.67)
\{\text{Diaper}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Milk, Beer}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=0.5)
\{\text{Milk}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Diaper, Beer}\} \ (s=0.4, \ c=0.5)

Observations:

- All the above rules are binary partitions of the same itemset: \{\text{Milk, Diaper, Beer}\}
- Rules originating from the same itemset have identical support but can have different confidence.
- Thus, we may decouple the support and confidence requirements.
Mining Association Rules

- Two-step approach:

1. Frequent Itemset Generation
   - Generate all itemsets whose support ≥ minsup

2. Rule Generation
   - Generate high confidence rules from each frequent itemset, where each rule is a binary partitioning of a frequent itemset

- Frequent itemset generation is still computationally expensive.
2.1 Frequent Itemset Generation

Given d items, there are $2^d$ candidate itemsets!
Brute Force Approach

- Each itemset in the lattice is a candidate frequent itemset
- Count the support of each candidate by scanning the database
- Match each transaction against every candidate

Transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bread, Milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List of Candidates

- Complexity ~ $O(NMw)$ ➔ Expensive since $M = 2^d$ !!!
- A smarter algorithm is required
Example: Brute Force Approach

Example:
- Amazon has 10 million books (i.e., Amazon Germany, as of 2011)
- That is $2^{10,000,000}$ possible itemsets
- As a number:
  - $9.04981... \times 10^{3,010,299}$
  - That is: a number with 3 million digits!

However:
- most itemsets will not be important at all
- e.g., books on Chinese calligraphy and data mining bought together
- thus, smarter algorithms should be possible
Reducing the Number of Candidates

- Apriori Principle

If an itemset is frequent, then all of its subsets must also be frequent.

- Apriori principle holds due to the following property of the support measure:

\[ \forall X, Y : (X \subseteq Y) \Rightarrow s(X) \geq s(Y) \]

- Support of an itemset never exceeds the support of its subsets
- This is known as the anti-monotone property of support
Using the Apriori Principle for Pruning

Found to be Infrequent

Pruned supersets
Example: Using the Apriori Principle for Pruning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bread</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaper</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggs</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Items** (1-itemsets)

**Pairs** (2-itemsets)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Itemset</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Bread, Milk}</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Bread, Beer}</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Bread, Diaper}</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Milk, Beer}</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Milk, Diaper}</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{Beer, Diaper}</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimum Support = 3

No need to generate candidates involving Coke or Eggs.

**Triplets** (3-itemsets)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Itemset</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{Bread, Milk, Diaper}</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No need to generate candidate {Milk, Diaper, Beer} as count {Milk, Beer} = 2.
The Apriori Algorithm

1. Let $k=1$

2. Generate frequent itemsets of length 1

3. Repeat until no new frequent itemsets are identified
   
   1. Generate length $(k+1)$ candidate itemsets from length $k$ frequent itemsets
   
   2. Prune candidate itemsets that can not be frequent because they contain subsets of length $k$ that are infrequent (Apriori Principle)

   3. Count the support of each candidate by scanning the DB

   4. Eliminate candidates that are infrequent, leaving only those that are frequent
Example: Apriori Algorithm

Dataset T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TID</th>
<th>Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T100</td>
<td>1, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T200</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T300</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T400</td>
<td>2, 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{itemset:count} \quad \text{minsups}=0.5
\]

1. scan T
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Cand}_1: \{1\}:2, \{2\}:3, \{3\}:3, \{4\}:1, \{5\}:3\]
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Fequ}_1: \{1\}:2, \{2\}:3, \{3\}:3, \{5\}:3\]
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Cand}_2: \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,5\}, \{2,3\}, \{2,5\}, \{3,5\}\]

2. scan T
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Cand}_2: \{1,2\}:1, \{1,3\}:2, \{1,5\}:1, \{2,3\}:2, \{2,5\}:3, \{3,5\}:2\]
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Fequ}_2: \{1,3\}:2, \{2,3\}:2, \{2,5\}:3, \{3,5\}:2\]
   \[\Rightarrow \text{Cand}_3: \{2, 3, 5\}\]

3. scan T
   \[\Rightarrow C_3: \{2, 3, 5\}:2\]
   \[\Rightarrow F_3: \{2, 3, 5\}\]
Frequent Itemset Generation in Rapidminer

FP-Growth
Alternative frequent itemset generation algorithm which compresses data into tree structure in memory.
Details: Tan/Steinback/Kumar Chapter 6.6
Frequent Itemsets in Rapidminer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Item 1</th>
<th>Item 2</th>
<th>Item 3</th>
<th>Item 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.600</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>ThinkPad X2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>Lenovo Tabl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>LT Laser Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>HP Laserjet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>HP CE50 To</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>ThinkPad X2</td>
<td>Lenovo Tabl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>HP Laserjet</td>
<td>HP CE50 To</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>Asus EeePC</td>
<td>LT Minimaus</td>
<td>2 GB DDR3</td>
<td>Netbook-Scf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example Application of Frequent Itemsets

1. Take Top-k frequent itemsets of size 2 containing item A

2. Rank second item according to
   • profit made by selling item
   • whether you want to reduce number of items B in stock
   • knowledge about customer preferences

3. Offer special price for combination with top-ranked second item
2.2 Rule Generation

- Given a frequent itemset \( L \), find all non-empty subsets \( f \subseteq L \) such that \( f \rightarrow L - f \) satisfies the minimum confidence requirement.

Example Frequent Itemset:

\{\text{Milk, Diaper, Beer}\}

Example Rule:

\{\text{Milk, Diaper}\} \Rightarrow \text{Beer}

\[ c = \frac{\sigma(\text{Milk, Diaper, Beer})}{\sigma(\text{Milk, Diaper})} = \frac{2}{3} = 0.67 \]
Challenge: Large Number of Candidate Rules

- If \{A,B,C,D\} is a frequent itemset, then the candidate rules are:
  
  \begin{align*}
  ABC & \rightarrow D, & ABD & \rightarrow C, & ACD & \rightarrow B, & BCD & \rightarrow A, \\
  A & \rightarrow BCD, & B & \rightarrow ACD, & C & \rightarrow ABD, & D & \rightarrow ABC, \\
  AB & \rightarrow CD, & AC & \rightarrow BD, & AD & \rightarrow BC, & BC & \rightarrow AD, \\
  BD & \rightarrow AC, & CD & \rightarrow AB  
  \end{align*}

- If \(|L| = k\), then there are \(2^k - 2\) candidate association rules
  (ignoring \(L \rightarrow \emptyset\) and \(\emptyset \rightarrow L\))
Rule Generation

- How to efficiently generate rules from frequent itemsets?
  - In general, confidence does not have an anti-monotone property
    \( c(ABC \rightarrow D) \) can be larger or smaller than \( c(AB \rightarrow D) \)
  - But confidence of rules generated from the same itemset has an anti-monotone property
    - e.g., \( L = \{A,B,C,D\} \):
      \[
      c(ABC \rightarrow D) \geq c(AB \rightarrow CD) \geq c(A \rightarrow BCD)
      \]
  - Confidence is anti-monotone with respect to the number of items on the right hand side of the rule
Rule Generation

- Confidence is anti-monotone w.r.t. number of items on the RHS of the rule
  - i.e., “moving elements from left to right” cannot increase confidence
  - reason:
    \[ c(AB \rightarrow C) := \frac{s(ABC)}{s(AB)} \quad c(A \rightarrow BC) := \frac{s(ABC)}{s(A)} \]
  - Due to anti-monotone property of support, we know
    \[ s(AB) \leq s(A) \]
  - Hence
    \[ c(AB \rightarrow C) \geq c(A \rightarrow BC) \]
Candidate Rule Pruning

Low Confidence Rule

Pruned Rule Candidates

ABCD => \{

BCD => A
ACD => B
ABD => C
ABC => D

CD => AB
BD => AC
BC => AD
AD => BC
AC => BD
AB => CD

D => ABC
C => ABD
B => ACD
A => BCD
Candidate Rule Generation within Apriori Algorithm

- Candidate rule is generated by merging two rules that share the same prefix in the rule consequent (right hand side of rule).

1. Join(CD $\rightarrow$ AB, BD $\rightarrow$ AC) would produce the candidate rule D $\rightarrow$ ABC

2. Prune rule D $\rightarrow$ ABC if one of its parent rules does not have high confidence (e.g. AD $\rightarrow$ BC)

- All the required information for confidence computation has already been recorded in itemset generation.
- Thus, there is no need to scan the transaction data $T$ any more.
Creating Association Rules in Rapidminer

![Diagram of creating association rules in Rapidminer with parameters: criterion set to confidence and min confidence set to 0.1.]

- **FP-Growth**
- **Create Association Rules**

Parameters:
- **criterion**: confidence
- **min confidence**: 0.1

⚠️ 2 hidden expert parameters
Exploring Association Rules in Rapidminer

Filter by conclusion

Filter by confidence
2.3 Handling Continuous and Categorical Attributes

- How to apply association analysis to attributes that are not asymmetric binary variables?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session Id</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Session Length (sec)</th>
<th>Number of Web Pages viewed</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Browser Type</th>
<th>Buy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Netscape</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>2125</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Mozilla</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>IE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Mozilla</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Example Rule:

\{\text{Number of Pages } \in [5,10) \land (\text{Browser}=\text{Mozilla})\} \rightarrow \{\text{Buy }= \text{ No}\}
Handling Categorical Attributes

- Transform categorical attribute into asymmetric binary variables
- Introduce a new “item” for each distinct attribute-value pair
  - Example: replace Browser Type attribute with
    - attribute: “Browser Type = Internet Explorer”
    - attribute: “Browser Type = Mozilla”
    - ….

- Potential Issues
  - What if attribute has many possible values
    - Many of the attribute values may have very low support
    - Potential solution: Aggregate low-support attribute values
  - What if distribution of attribute values is highly skewed
    - Example: 95% of the visitors have Buy = No
    - Most of the items will be associated with (Buy=No) item
    - Potential solution: drop the highly frequent item
Handling Continuous Attributes

- Transform continuous attribute into binary variables using discretization
  - Equal-width binning
  - Equal-frequency binning

- Issue: Size of the discretization intervals affects support & confidence
  
  \[
  \{\text{Refund} = \text{No}, (\text{Income} = \$51,250)\} \rightarrow \{\text{Cheat} = \text{No}\}
  \]
  
  \[
  \{\text{Refund} = \text{No}, (60K \leq \text{Income} \leq 80K)\} \rightarrow \{\text{Cheat} = \text{No}\}
  \]
  
  \[
  \{\text{Refund} = \text{No}, (0K \leq \text{Income} \leq 1B)\} \rightarrow \{\text{Cheat} = \text{No}\}
  \]

- If intervals are too small
  - itemsets may not have enough support

- If intervals too large
  - rules may not have enough confidence
  - e.g. combination of different age groups compared to a specific age group
2.4 Interestingness Measures

- Association rule algorithms tend to produce **too many rules**
  - many of them are uninteresting or redundant
  - redundant if \( \{A,B,C\} \rightarrow \{D\} \) and \( \{A,B\} \rightarrow \{D\} \) have same support & confidence

- Interestingness of patterns **depends on application**
  - one man's rubbish may be another's treasure

- Interestingness measures can be used to prune or rank the derived rules.

- In the original formulation of association rules, support & confidence were the only interest measures used.

- Later, various other measures have been proposed
  - See Tan/Steinback/Kumar, Chapter 6.7
  - We will have a look at one: Lift
### Drawback of Confidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coffee</th>
<th>Coffee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Association Rule: Tea → Coffee**

\[
\text{confidence}(\text{Tea} \rightarrow \text{Coffee}) = 0.75
\]

**but support(Coffee) = 0.9**

Although confidence is high, rule is misleading as the fraction of coffee drinkers is higher than the confidence of the rule.
Lift

- The *lift* of an association rule $X \rightarrow Y$ is defined as:

$$
Lift = \frac{c(X \rightarrow Y)}{s(Y)}
$$

- Confidence normalized by support of consequent

- Interpretation
  - if $lift > 1$, then $X$ and $Y$ are positively correlated
  - if $lift = 1$, then $X$ and $Y$ are independent
  - if $lift < 1$, then $X$ and $Y$ are negatively correlated
Example: Lift

Association Rule: Tea $\rightarrow$ Coffee

$$Lift = \frac{c(X \rightarrow Y)}{s(Y)}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coffee</th>
<th>Coffee</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

confidence(Tea $\rightarrow$ Coffee) = 0.75
support(Coffee) = 0.9

lift(Tea $\rightarrow$ Coffee) = 0.75/0.9 = 0.8333

(< 1, therefore is **negatively correlated**)
Exploring Association Rules in Rapidminer

Lift close to 1

Solid lift
Conclusion

- The algorithm does the counting for you and finds patterns in the data.

- You need to do the interpretation based on your knowledge about the application domain.
  - Which patterns are meaningful?
  - Which patterns are surprising?
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