Database Technology– FSS 2018

Exercise 10: Query Optimization

10.1 Size of Join

Consider the relations r1(A, B, C), r2(C, D, E), and r3(E, F). Assume that r1 has 1000 tuples, r2 has 1500 tuples, and r3 has 750 tuples. Estimate the size of r1⋈ r2⋈ r3 and give an efficient strategy for computing the join in following situations:

a. Assume that A, C, and E are primary keys.

b. Assume that there are no primary keys, except the entire schema.
   Let V(C, r1) be 900, V(C, r2) be 1100, V(E, r2) be 50, and V(E, r3) be 100.

10.2 Query plan

Consider the following query:

SELECT *
FROM r, s
WHERE UPPER(r.A) = UPPER(s.A)

where “upper” is a function that returns its input argument with all lowercase letters replaced by the corresponding uppercase letters.

a. Find out what plan is generated for this query on the database system you use.

b. Some database systems would use a (block) nested-loop join for this query, which can be very inefficient. Briefly explain how hash-join or merge-join can be used for this query.
10.3. Interesting orders
Consider the issue of interesting orders in optimization. Suppose you are given a query that
computes the natural join of a set of relations S. Given a subset S1 of S, what are the interesting
orders of S1?

10.4. Nested subquery rewriting
Consider again the following bank database:

branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets)
customer(customer_name, customer_street, customer_city)
loan(loan_number, branch_name, amount)
borrower(customer_name, loan_number)
account(account_number, branch_name, balance)
depositor(customer_name, account_number)

Construct the following SQL queries for this relational database.

a. Write a nested query on the relation account to find, for each branch with name starting
with B, all accounts with the maximum balance at the branch.
b. Rewrite the preceding query, without using a nested subquery; in other words, decorrelate
the query.
c. Give a procedure for decorrelating such queries.

10.5. Equivalence of Relational Algebra Expressions
Show how to derive the following equivalences by a sequence of transformations using the
equivalence rules:

a. \( \sigma_{\theta_3 \land \theta_2 \land \theta_1}(E) = \sigma_{\theta_1}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(\sigma_{\theta_3}(E))) \)
b. \( \sigma_{\theta_3}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta_2}(E_2))) = \sigma_{\theta_2}(\sigma_{\theta_3}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(E_2))) \), where \( \theta_2 \) involes only attributes from \( E_2 \)
c. \( \pi_{L_3}(\pi_{L_2}(\sigma_{\theta_1}(E_1 \times E_2))) \cup \sigma_{\theta_2 \land \theta_1}(E_3) = \pi_{L_4}(\sigma_{\theta_3}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(E_3))) \cup \sigma_{\theta_1}(E_2 \bowtie_{\theta_1}(E_1)) \)