Database Technology—HWS 2017

Exercise 10: Query Optimization

10.1 Size of Join

Consider the relations r1(A, B, C), r2(C, D, E), and r3(E, F). Assume that r1 has 1000 tuples, r2 has 1500 tuples, and r3 has 750 tuples. Estimate the size of \( r_1 \bowtie r_2 \bowtie r_3 \) and give an efficient strategy for computing the join in following situations:

a. Assume that A, C, and E are primary keys.

b. Assume that there are no primary keys, except the entire schema.

Let \( V(C, r_1) = 900 \), \( V(C, r_2) = 1100 \), \( V(E, r_2) = 50 \), and \( V(E, r_3) = 100 \).

10.2. Query plan

Consider the following query:

```sql
SELECT *
FROM r, s
WHERE UPPER(r.A) = UPPER(s.A)
```

where “upper” is a function that returns its input argument with all lowercase letters replaced by the corresponding uppercase letters.

a. Find out what plan is generated for this query on the database system you use.

b. Some database systems would use a (block) nested-loop join for this query, which can be very inefficient. Briefly explain how hash-join or merge-join can be used for this query.
10.3. Interesting orders

Consider the issue of interesting orders in optimization. Suppose you are given a query that computes the natural join of a set of relations S. Given a subset S1 of S, what are the interesting orders of S1?

10.4. Nested subquery rewriting

Consider again the following bank database:

```
branch(branch_name, branch_city, assets)
customer(customer_name, customer_stree, customer_city)
loan(loan_number, branch_name, amount)
borrower(customer_name, loan_number)
account(account_number, branch_name, balance)
depositor(customer_name, account_number)
```

Construct the following SQL queries for this relational database.

a. Write a nested query on the relation account to find, for each branch with name starting with B, all accounts with the maximum balance at the branch.

b. Rewrite the preceding query, without using a nested subquery; in other words, decorrelate the query.

c. Give a procedure for decorrelating such queries.

10.5. Equivalence of Relational Algebra Expressions

Show how to derive the following equivalences by a sequence of transformations using the equivalence rules:

a. $\sigma_{\theta_1 \land \theta_2 \land \theta_3}(E) = \sigma_{\theta_1}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(\sigma_{\theta_3}(E)))$

b. $\sigma_{\theta_1 \land \theta_2}(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta_3} E_2) = \sigma_{\theta_1}(E_1 \bowtie_{\theta_3}(\sigma_{\theta_2}(E_2)))$, where $\theta_2$ involes only attributes from $E_2$

c. $\pi_{L_1} (\pi_{L_2} (\sigma_{\theta_1}(E_1 \bowtie E_2)) \cup \pi_{L_1} (\sigma_{\theta_2}(E_3))) = \pi_{L_1} (\sigma_{\theta_1}(E_3)) \cup \pi_{L_1} (E_2 \bowtie_{\theta_1} E_1)$